UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: ETHICON PHYSIOMESH FLEXIBLE COMPOSITE HERNIA MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL DOCKET NO. 2782 ALL CASES

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:17-MD-02782-RWS

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE ORDER NO. 3

APPROVAL OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINT; FILING OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINTS

I. COURT APPROVAL OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINT
The Court hereby approves the Short Form Complaint attached as Exhibit A.

II. REQUIREMENT OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINT IN EVERY MEMBER ACTION

All Plaintiffs in MDL No. 2782 must file a Short Form Complaint in accordance with Practice and Procedure Order No. 2, using the form approved by the Court. *See* PPO-2, Case No. 1:17-md-2782-RWS, Doc. 219 (Aug. 22, 2017).

III. FILING SHORT FORM COMPLAINTS

A Short Form Complaint may <u>not</u> be filed in the Master Docket. Instead, the Short Form Complaint must be filed <u>only</u> in the individual member action docket. Although the parties should continue to identify MDL 2782 as a related case at the time of filing, the clerk's office is directed <u>not</u> to file individual complaints on the MDL Master Docket unless expressly directed to do so by the Court.

SO ORDERED, this / 1/2 day of September, 2017.

RICHARD W. STORY

United States District Judge

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: ETHICON PHYSIOMESH FLEXIBLE COMPOSITE HERNIA MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	: MDL DOCKET NO. 2782 : CIVIL ACTION NO. : 1:17-MD-02782-RWS :
This document relates to:	:
	: Civil Action No.:
•	:
SHORT FOR	M COMPLAINT
Come now the Plaintiff(s) named bel	ow, and for their Complaint against the
Defendants named below, incorporate th	ne Master Complaint in MDL No. 2782 by
reference. Plaintiff(s) further show the o	court as follows:
1. Plaintiff Implanted with Physiome	sh
2. Plaintiff's Spouse (if applicable)	
3. Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., a conservator)	dministrator, executor, guardian,
· 	

4.		ce and Citizenship of each Plaintiff (including any Plaint re capacity) at time of filing of Initial Complaint	iff
5.	5. State of Residen	ce and Citizenship at the Time of Implantation	
6.	6. District Court an be proper absent	d Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue wou direct filing.	ld
			_
7.	7. Defendants (Che	ck Defendants against whom Complaint is made):	
	A. Ethico	n, Inc.	
	B. Johnson	on & Johnson	
8.	3. Basis of Jurisdict	ion	
	Diversity	of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))	
	Other:	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	A. Paragraphs is	n Master Complaint upon which venue and jurisdiction la	ie:
		·	

B. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue:				
		0		
		f		

9.

Date(s) Plaintiff was Implanted with Physiomesh (list date of each implant surgery, where applicable, on separate line)	Hospital(s) where Plaintiff was implanted with Physiomesh (include City and State of Hospital)	Implanting Surgeon(s)
		I

0. Coun	its in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):
	Count I – Strict Product Liability – Defective Design
	Count II – Strict Product Liability – Failure to Warn
	Count III - Strict Product Liability - Manufacturing Defect
	Count IV – Negligence
	Count V – Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicable State Consumer Protection law(s) and state any additional facts and legal basis for application of State Consumer Protection law(s) in this case)
•	
	Count VI – Gross Negligence
	Count VII – Loss of Consortium
	Count VIII – Punitive Damages
	Count IX – Discovery Rule, Equitable Tolling/Estoppel (Please state any additional facts and legal basis for Discovery Rule and Tolling below)
9	

not in	Other Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims neluded in the Master Complaint below):
9	
	Jury Trial is Demanded as to All Counts
	Jury Trial is NOT Demanded as to Any Count
	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff
ldress, ph	none number, email address and bar information: