IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

MATTHEW HUFF)	
VS.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:16-cv-368	L ACTION NO. 3:16-cv-368
ETHICON, INC.)) JURY TRIAL REQUESTED	Y TRIAL REQUESTED

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

This is a negligence, strict liability, and breach of warranty action arising out of the serious personal injuries of Matthew Huff as a result of the Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh tested, designed, manufactured, and marketed by Ethicon, Inc.

I. Parties

1. Parties to this suit are:

Plaintiff: Matthew Huff, a natural person who resides in West Frankfort, Illinois.

Defendant: Ethicon, Inc., is a foreign corporation licensed to do business in the State

of Illinois who may be served by serving its Registered Agent for Service, C. T. Corporation System, 208 So Lasalle St., Suite 814, Chicago, Illinois

60604.

II. Venue

2. Venue of this suit is proper and maintainable in the Southern District of Illinois in that Plaintiff's causes of action accrued, at least in part, in the Southern District of Illinois. Venue of this suit is proper herein under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as the Defendant is a resident of the Southern District of Illinois with sufficient contacts to subject it to personal jurisdiction.

III. Jurisdiction

3. Matthew Huff is a resident of the State of Illinois. Defendant Ethicon, Inc. is a New Jersey corporation with its principal place of business in some state other than the State of Illinois, thereby creating a diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendant. The amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00. The Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

IV. Nature of the Action

4. In 2013, Plaintiff Matthew Huff was treated for a hernia of the abdominal wall. The surgeon, Udaya Liyanage, M.D. used Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh for the hernia repair. Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh was manufactured, designed, tested, and marketed by Defendant Ethicon, Inc. The Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh is an implantable tissue-separating mesh designed to be physiologically compatible with the abdominal wall. In July of 2015, Mr. Huff began experiencing severe pain in his abdomen, along with fever, nausea, chills, and redness which developed on the skin of his abdomen. Mr. Huff was hospitalized and found to have an infection in and around the mesh causing two abdominal abscesses, intestinal fistula, and underwent a procedure to debride the two abscesses, and the placement of a V.A.C. Since that time, Plaintiff Matthew Huff has suffered severe and serious problems and complications with two open abdominal wounds which have to be cleaned and packed daily, and continues to suffer various infirmaries due to complications caused by the

product, Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh, that was designed, marketed, tested, and manufactured by Defendant Ethicon, Inc.

Strict Liability

- 5. Plaintiff Matthew Huff continues to suffer with pain, mental anguish, and other problems associated with the defective Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh product in question.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that the product in question was designed, manufactured, marketed, packaged, labeled, and tested by Defendant Ethicon, Inc.
- 7. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Ethicon, Inc. was engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, marketing, packaging, labeling, and testing products such as the Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh for hernia repair.
- 8. The product, Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh, was defective, unreasonably dangerous, and not suitable for implantation in Matthew Huff and others similarly situated and was the producing cause of the injuries and damages to Plainitff.
- 9. The mesh at issue was not reasonably tested to determine if it was fit for its intended purpose of implantation into the human body.
- 10. Plaintiff believes and alleges that the specific mesh in question was unreasonably dangerous in that the benefits of the specific mesh were outweighed by the risks of harm.
- 11. Plaintiff believes and alleges there were, at the time of the original manufacture and sale of the mesh in question, reasonable economically and technologically alternative

feasible designs which would have afforded users such as and including Plaintiff with the same or greater benefits, while reducing the risk of harm.

- 12. Plaintiff believes and alleges that the mesh in question did not, at the time of manufacture and sale, comport with Ethicon, Inc's own standards and requirements for the product.
- 13. Plaintiff believes and alleges that the deviation from intended design has made the product unreasonably dangerous.
- 14. Plaintiff believes and alleges that this defect existed at the time the product left the manufacturer.
- 15. Plaintiff believes and alleges that the defect caused the product to adhere to Plaintiff's internal organs in such as way that has caused him severe infection and further injuries.
- 16. Defendant Ethicon, Inc. did not provide foreseeable customers such as and including Plaintiff, his physicians, hospital staff, and/or other members of the medical community with reasonably sufficient technical information about the risks of using the Ethicon mesh in question and was negligent in such conduct which was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

Negligence

- 17. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Ethicon, Inc. was required to provide the Plaintiff with a reasonably safe product.
- 18. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Ethicon, Inc. did not provide Plaintiff with a reasonably safe product, such failure was negligent which was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's

injuries and damages.

- 19. Plaintiff believes and alleges that Defendant Ethicon, Inc. has caused Plaintiff significant pain and consequences of future surgeries as a result of Defendant not providing Plaintiff with a reasonably safe product.
- 20. Plaintiff further believes that Defendant Ethicon, Inc. was on notice of the problems with the product due to adverse event reports and complaints that were made to Ethicon, Inc. by users such as physicians and patients after which a reasonably prudent manufacturer would have removed the product from the market prior to the time that Matthew Huff was implanted with the Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh.

Breach of Warranties

21. Plaintiff alleges that the surgical mesh in question was negligently manufactured, tested, distributed, and marketed by Defendant Ethicon, Inc. therefore Ethicon, Inc. is liable under a theory of implied warranty in that the Ethicon, Inc. mesh was not reasonably suited for its intended purposes, such as implantation in the human body. The mesh was also unfit for the ordinary purposes for which it was used and the implied warranty of merchantability was breached. Such breach of implied warranties were a proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages. Plaintiff has provided the required notice for breach of warranties to Defendant Ethicon, Inc.

V. Actual Damages

22. Plaintiff asserts that Defendant Ethicon, Inc.'s acts and/or omissions were a producing cause and/or a proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.

- 23. As a direct and proximate cause of the defective mesh in question, and the injuries resulting there from, Plaintiff Matthew Huff would show he has suffered the following damages:
 - a. Medical expenses, past and future;
 - b. Physical impairment, past and future;
 - c. Loss of enjoyment of life, past and future;
 - d. Lost wages, past and future;
 - e. Loss of wage earning capacity, past and future;
 - f. Physical pain and mental anguish, past and future.

VI. Punitive Damages

24. Plaintiff makes claim that Defendant is liable to Plaintiff Matthew Huff for punitive damages for their gross negligence in the manufacture, design, marketing and testing, or lack thereof, for their Ethicon Physiomesh® Flexible Composite Mesh as implanted in Plaintiff Matthew Huff.

VII. Jury Demand

25. Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

VIII. Prayer

- 26. Plaintiff requests that the Defendant be cited to appear and answer, and that on final trial Plaintiff have:
- a. All actual, economic and compensatory damages in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court;

- b. Punitive damages;
- c. Prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the legal rate, costs of court, and
- d. Such other and further relief as Plaintiff may be entitled to receive

Respectfully submitted,

SAM C. MITCHELL AND ASSOCIATES P. O. Box 280 West Frankfort, IL 62896 618-932-2772 Phone 618-932-3456 Fax

s/Matthew H. Caraway

Matthew H. Caraway Illinois Bar No. 6298287 mcaraway@scmitchell.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of miniating the errir c	rocket sheet. (BLZ MBINO	CHONS ON NEAT TAGE O	r maro	KML)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		31 31 31		DEFENDANTS				
Matthew Huff				Ethicon, Inc.				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Franklin, IL (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
115 East	H. Caraway Mitchell & Associates t Main Street, P.O. Box ankfort, IL 62896	280		Attomeys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in t	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES		
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U,S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) P on of This State	IF DEF 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	and One Box for Defe PTF incipal Place This State	DEF	
☐ 2 U.S Government Defendant	∇ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		5 (X) 5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation	٥	6 🗆 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			1 174		T			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 750 Motor Vehicle 760 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malmactice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other	Other:	7 62: 69 69 72: 74: 79: 79:	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act MANGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copp 830 Pater 840 Trad 861 HIA 862 Black 863 DiW 864 SSIE 865 RSJ 6 870 Taxe or D 871 IRS—26 U	RTY RIGHTS rights at emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	375 False Claims / 400 State Reapport 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Bat 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Infl Corrupt Organ 480 Consumer Cre 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securites/Con Exchange 890 Other Statutor 891 Agricultural A 893 Environmental 895 Freedom of In Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Aet/Review or Agency Decisis 950 Constitutionals State Statutes	Act cionment aking uenced and izations dit mmodities/ y Actions ets Matters formation Procedure Appeal of on
	moved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	Reope	(specify)	r District	6 Multidistri Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	Brief description of ca	C §1332				versity)		-
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F R.Cv.P.	DE	**************************************	-	HECK YES only: URY DEMAND:	if demanded in compl ⊠ Yes □ N	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY O	FRECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	10UNT	APPLYING (FP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD) OGE	

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/12)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a)** Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record, If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	Southern District of Benton Division				
MATTHEW HUFF Plaintiff v. ETHICON, INC. Defendant)))))	Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-368			
	SUMMONS IN A CIV	VIL ACTION			
To: CT Corporation System, Registered Agent for Ethicon, Inc. 208 S. Lasalle, Suite 814 Chicago, IL 60604 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Mr. Matthew H. Caraway Sam C. Mitchell & Associates P.O. Box 280 115 East Main Street West Frankfort, IL 62896 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
		CLERK OF COURT			
Date:	_	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,						
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summe	ons on (name of individual)			, who is		
	designated by law to	accept service of process on beha	alf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because			; or		
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$				
			• .				
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information	is true.				
_							
Date:			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: